

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/715,638	KAMINKOW, JOSEPH E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven Ashburn	3714	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Steven Ashburn.

(3) _____

(2) Adam Masia.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 January 2005

Time: 08:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-70

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner stated that restriction to one of the following inventions was required: (i) claims 1-38 and 49-69, drawn to a replicating display and (ii) claims 39-48 and 70, drawn to a method for displaying a pay chart. The inventions are distinct from each from the other because they are related as a combination and subcombination. Applicant's representative, Adam Masia elected claims 1-38 and 49-69 without traverse. Furthermore, he approved and examiner's amendment canceling claims 39-48 and 70.